1923 3

Department of Public Health

OFFICE of the Secretary to Govt.

ATION Madras

Ex. No. Mis 1908

Dated 10-1-1923

1 Rule
2 Sets of Rules bound case

	Date	Initial
Given for copying		
Copied		
Examined		
Signed		
Given for despatch		
Despatched		
Indexed ✓	10.7.23	10.7.23
To be destroyed on		

(SUBJECT.)

Not to be filled in the case of permanent records.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.	19.2.21	S.K.S
When copied		L. AS
When examined ..	21.2.21	AS
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

No: 224/21 Dt. 21.2.

Official Memo

A copy of question No. 224 asked at the meeting of the legislative Council of 14th Feb 1921, and the answer given thereto is forwarded to the Supdtg Engineer IV circle who is requested to report in detail on clause a of the question in Consultation with the Collector

2 months

20

The S.E IV Circle.

Enc 1 copy of Qn No. 224 & answer

18.2.21

DG

150

224

3

115 SECT

14 APR 1921

CURRENT NUMBER

640

24424

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

O.f. 20

Received ..... 191 . Registered ..... 191 .

Subject.

Irrigation - Kollegal Taluq.

ENCLOSURES.

No. .....

Spare copies .....

No. 612-W/246

Station Coimbatore, Dated the 8th April 1921

12a

From (Name) M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur R. N. Arogyaswami Mudaliyar, Avl., B.A., B.C.E.,

(Designation) Offg: Supg. Engineer, IV Circle.

To The Chief Engineer to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department.

Madras.

Sir,

Letter No.2210/21-A-2, dated the 4th March 1921, from F. B. Evans, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of Coimbatore, to the - Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, Coimbatore:-

Your No.384-M/246, dated the 24th February 1921.

Irrigation - Kollegal Taluk.

The question as put, "whether several tracts which - were Nanja before have become punja now," is very vague. I - can only say that it is said that a long time ago, there was an anicut, for instance, across the Gundal river near ------ Brahmeswaram temple in Modalli village from which considerabl areas were irrigated which are now not so irrigated. c

long time ago, it is said, there was a dam over the Hebballa in Kunagalli village from which a good many lands which are now dry were irrigated. The question of restoring both these dams has been under consideration. The Gundal river project has been dropped for the present. The Hebballa project is under further investigation. Another project is the Udutturai Halla scheme, and another is that of the imrpvoement of the Hampapuram tank. Speaking generally, enquiries made in 1917 show that there has been no decrease in the wet area under most of the Kollegal tanks, but rather the contrary, and the problem is to provide a better supply for the existing wet lands by increasing the storage capacity of the tanks.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., for information with reference to his No.242/21-1 B, dated 21st February 1921.

It is not necessary for me to refer further about proposals for new scheme, as no information concerning this point is asked for in your endorsement.

Sd. R. N. Arogyaswami Mudaliyar,
8-4-1921.
Offg: Supg. Engineer, IV Circle.

(True copy)

T. N. Venkatoswamy
Personal Assistant. 11/4/21

Re 11/4/21

E.P./11-4-21.

5/

No: 2[illegible] Dt: 21-4-21

Official Memo.

With reference to his endorsement ~~letter~~ No. 612 W/21 dt 12-4-1921 ~~the~~ irrigation in the Kollegal Taluk, the ~~Collector~~ is requested to ~~state~~ whether ~~there is any reason to believe that~~ ~~the~~ facts ~~that~~ much water goes to waste for want of sufficient vents & trenches in the taluk, and ~~he~~ generally as to the schemes referred ~~to by the~~ in ~~the~~ Collector's ~~letter~~ D.O. ~~letter~~ dated ~~the~~ ~~reference to the~~ ~~above~~.

(forwarding copy of letter No: 2210/21 A-2 dt 3-4-421 from the Collector of Coimbatore)

Reminder - Except 6 weeks

(Jones 21/4/21)

To

The [illegible]

Dt: 21-4-21

By order
[illegible]

b

G.F.—20.

PUBLIC WORKS **DEPARTMENT.**

210 SECTION
14 MAY 1921
CURRENT NUMBER
803

Received 191 . *Registered* 191 .

Subject.

Irrigation in the Kollegal Taluk.

ENCLOSURES
No.
Spare copies

No. 770-W/246

Station Coimbatore. , *Dated the* 28th April 1921 ~~191~~
13th May 21.

From (Name) M.E.M., Rao Bahadur
A.S.I.O.W., Retd.

(Designiatipn) Offg. Supdg. Engineer, IV Circle,
Coimbatore.

To The ~~Secretary~~ Chief Engineer to the Government of Madras,
PUBLIC WORKS Department.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to report that there is no doubt that a good deal of water in the Kollegal Taluk is being wasted, because, except the Gundal, none of the other streams have yet been tenered for irrigation. I note below the chief streams, and what has so far been attempted concerning each:--

1. The Swarnavati or Honehalla. catchment 652 Sq. miles. This is used for filling a number of tanks in Mysore territory, but runs through British territory entirely untouched. A proposal has been made to construct an anicut on it, in Mysore limits, and to take a supply channel for filling some of the Kollegal series of tanks.

Please see this office no. 263 dated 8.8.19 The matter, I understand is under correspondence between you and the Mysore durbar. If the latter do not agree, I am of opinion that we could still construct an anicut within our own limits for direct irrigation of lands on the margin of the Cauvery. In any case, I am of opinion that we should take gauge readings for this stream, by putting up a gauge somewhere near where the Kollegal-Chamrajnagar road crosses this stream.

2. The ~~Honnahalla~~ Hebbe. -- catchment 19. Sq. miles. This is a small tributary of the Suvarnavati; but its catchment is well wooded and it is reported to carry a fair discharge. There was an old tank on this, which is now breached, and the bed is cultivated. I am of opinion that the restoration of this tank is worth investigation. I believe the ryots under the Kollegal tanks would contribute largely to this scheme if a portion of the supply is turned into the tanks.

3. The Gundal -- catchment 82. Sq. miles. A scheme for constructing a reservoir on this was investigated some years ago and given up. I am certain that no reservoir scheme on this will pay.

I am now investigating a scheme for taking a separate supply channel (from the Gundal) for filling the tanks, leaving the existing supply channel for direct irrigation alone. At present, one channel serves both purposes, and the direct irrigation consumes the bulk of the supply coming down. I believe that the ryots will contribute towards the cost of this scheme.

4. The Uduthoraihalla and Thattahalla. The former is quite a big stream which originally had a catchment area of 330. Sq. miles at the point where it enters the Cauvery. Of this it is the upper catchment of about ~~300~~ 95 Sq. miles that is valuable; and the stream is reported to carry a fairly steady supply. This stream, which originally ran north and entered the Cauvery some distance below the Sivasamudram falls, has altered its course and now runs into the

Palar, which enters the Cauvery near Cauveripatnam. It will however be possible to utilize the supply in Kollegal Taluk. I would also recommend that gauge readings should be taken on this stream. I have asked the Executive Engineer to inspect and send me a further report.

The Thattahalla is a tributary to this stream. I do not think we need consider this at present, as the supply in this could not be used without storage, and storage on this scale will not pay.

5. The Palar -- catchment 625 Sq. miles. This -- stream cannot be used in Kollegal taluk. It could be used for irrigating a portion of Bhavani Taluk; but then nearly all the land commanded will be submerged by the Cauvery reservoir proposed at Metur.

The Eastern portion of Kollegal Taluk consists of very broken country very sparsely populated, and with a large amount of forests: and it is not suited for irrigation development. Most of the streams here, therefore, cannot be used.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd. R.N. Arogyaswami Mudaliyar,
28--4--1921,

Offg. Superintending Engineer,

Fourth Circle.

(True Copy)

Madhava Rao
12.5.21

Personal Assistant.

Exd
30.4.21.

P.A.S./30--4--21

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied		
When examined ..	17/6	
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

9

No. 242/21-6-4 27-6-21

CE II

Regarding the Swarnavathi or Hurlahalli

The proposal of Mysore Govt to Construct 2 anicuts across the River Cauvery & a reservoir on the River Cauvery & Still under Correspondence between the with the Mysore Government No further action regarding the Scheme is necessary, till something definite is known as to what Mysore proposes to do.

ii - Schemes 2 & 3 - The Hebbahalla and the Lemdal Supply Channel

The S.E. is requested to submit the preliminary Reports with an approximate Estimate of Cost and Revenue Returns for the Schemes proposed by him.

iii - Scheme No 4 The Hebbathiswara Halla The further report called for from the E.E. is awaited.

27.6.21

27.6.21

To call 2 months

To The S.E. IV 7/7/21

Encl: nil

16th February 1921]

Reservoir scheme in Kollegal taluk.

231 Q.—Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR: To ask the Hon'ble the Member for Revenue to state—

(*a*) if in the taluk of Kollegal in Coimbatore district, there are very few reservoirs of water and much water goes to waste and even several tracts which were nanja lands before have become punja now ;

(*b*) if there are any reports for any reservoir schemes in that taluk, and, if so, to give some details of the same.

A.—(*a*) The Government have no detailed information but will call for it.

(*b*) The proposal to form a reservoir across the Gundala river about 10 miles south-east of Kollegal was given up on engineering and financial grounds. The investigation of the scheme to divert the water of the Honnolai stream, known as the Swarnavathi, has not yet been taken up pending receipt of certain information asked for from Mysore.

G.F.51.

Special

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

9-20

In future letters, please quote No. dated and short title *

Rec'd ..... Enclosures.....

Reg'd ..... Spare copies.....

Telephone No.
Post Box No.
Telegraphic Address:

PB. SECTION
21 APR 1922
CURRENT NUMBER
664
4424

Dated April 1922.

From (Name) P. Hawkins Esq., M.Inst., C.E.,
(Designation) Superintending Engineer,
(Address) Fourth Circle, Coimbatore.

To The Joint Secretary to Government of Madras,

MADRAS.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No. Mis.95-I, dated 17th March 1922 relating to Irrigation schemes in Kollegal Taluk I have the honour to state as follows:--

1. As regards the two schemes viz. (1) Restoring an old tank on the Hebbehalla (2) Taking a separate channel from the Gundal for filling tanks leaving the existing channel for direct irrigation alone the Executive Engineer Coimbatore Division has reported in his No.464 S.E., dated 4-4-22 that preliminary reports with approximate estimates for the schemes have been transferred to Executive Engineer Salem Division for disposal as the Kollegal taluk has been recently attached to the Salem Division. The Executive Engineer, Salem Division is being requested to deal with the matter quickly.

2. As regards the Uduthorai -halla project, the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division has sent in an estimate amounting to Rs.410 for gauging operations of Uduthorai-halla which is being transmitted to the Collector for countersignature and return, and is forwarding a report which will be dealt

dealt with immediately on receipt.

Sd. P. Hawkins, 13-4-22

Supg. Engineer, IV Circle.

(True Copy)

S. Venkatarama Ayya

Personal Assistant.

19.4.22

13

No 2412/2B.A 17 — 4 - 23

Memo

Complainant's letter

With reference to the ~~above~~ ~~cited~~ ~~letter~~ ~~from~~ ~~the~~ ~~Executive~~ ~~Engineer~~ ~~Coimbatore~~ ~~Circle~~ ~~dated~~ ~~28.3.23~~ ~~and~~ ~~reminders~~ ~~dated~~ ~~10.4.23~~ ~~and~~ ~~16.4.23~~ ~~from~~ ~~the~~ ~~Executive~~ ~~Engineer~~ ~~Coimbatore~~ ~~Circle~~ dated 28.3.23 and 2nd A reminder dated 10.4.23 from the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Circle, regarding the Irrigation Schemes in the Kollegal taluk, the S.E. Coimbatore Circle is requested to expedite the submission of the reports on the second Irrigation Schemes, on the question pending with the G.O. ~~for more than a year and a half~~.

The question has been pending for over a year and a half.

16.4.23

D.E.O

16/4/23

To

The S.E. Coimbatore Circle

9/4/23

DESPATCHED
Date 17-4-23
Encles

Judl. Deptt

Submitted that the file may now ~~be~~ returned to P.W.D. for issuing a revised order accordingly.

~~ARN~~ Rus
~~29/1~~ 29.1.25.

PWD 30.1.25

Judl. No 439-1
30/1/25

P.W.D

No. -

A revised draft order is submitted which may be circulated to M_2 + C_2.

DL 3.2.25

[Funds. Please see para 3 of the draft order.]

4.2.25

M_2

C_2

6/2/25

Thro' ~~H.S.~~ B Branch para 3 of the draft order

~~(2) Sanction to the proposed~~
~~of the Finance Department~~

P. W. D Box No 61
6. 2. 25
Recd on 6/2/25

Issue

6.2.25

7/2/25.

7/2/25

S

IR/36 203 24 — 31 MAY 1923 — WD — TO BE RETURNED

14

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION. 1 JUN 1923 No.

Public Works Department.

Irrigation Branch.

V - **REMINDER.** 24/2/21 B

REPLY.

To

The Supdt. Engineer
Coimbatore Circle

To

No. 2764 -7-

THE Chief Engineer for Irrigation,

Madras.

The undersigned has the honour to invite / is directed to invite / invites attention to the communications noted in the margin and to request that this reminder may be returned at once with information as to—

(1) the stage at which the matter now is, and

(2) the date by which a reply may be expected, and

(3) the circumstances which have caused the delay in detail

2. It is requested that the reply to this reminder may be sent not later than 10/6/23

(P. Order)

Office Pub. W.

Station Chepauk

Dated 29.5.23

P. K. Lakshmanan
M.S. Engineer
Signature.
Superintendent
Designation.
(P. W. D. Irrigation)

29/5/23

SUBJECT.

Irrigation Schemes in the Kollegal Taluk.
Coimbatore District.
(1) Uduthurai Chalia Project.
(2) Taking a separate channel from the Gundal for filling up the tanks

Submission of reports in this

(1) Uduthorchalla Project.

As regards this Project an esti mate for gaugings has been recently approved in G.O.No.Mis.64-I, dated 3-3-23. Hence the report on this scheme may probably be expected from the Executive Engineer, Salem Division after the results of -- gauging operation have been -- verified.

(2) Taking a separate channel from the Gundal for filling up the tanks

As regards this project please see this office No. 370-I/441-C d/ 12-12-22 in which it is stated that the scheme may be postponed until the proposal referred to in your Memo No.1826-22-I-B-2 d/23-11-22 has been considered. In this -- connection please refer to your Memo No.65-E dated 11-1-23.

Sd. W.G.Molesworth, 12-6-23,
Supg.Engineer, Coimbatore Circle.

S. Venkataramana Aiyar
JUN 14 1923
For Superintending Engineer,
Coimbatore Circle.

NOTES.

L. & M. (iv) 8—500 -27-1-21.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OFFICE.

QUESTION.—No. 224 for the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the Feb 14th 1921.

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the P. W. (Irrigation) Department with reference to Nos. (1) and (4) of the rules regulating Secretariat procedure in dealing with these Questions in the Legislative Council. ~~Orders regarding admission will be communicated in due course.~~ The question has been admitted

2-2-21 D.S

4/2/21

U.O. No. 582-2, dated 5-2-21 4/2/21

4/2/21

To

The P. W. (Irrigation) Department.

LB SECTION
- 5 FEB 1921
CURRENT NUMBER
242

P.W.D

J.S.

D4
5-2-21
5 pm

The question on p. 1 of relates to the irrigation sources in the Kollegal taluk, Coimbatore district. Clause (a) of the question is vague. There are about 18 tanks in the taluk according to the list of minor works in charge of the

and all of them are in the charge of the P.W.D. In the Imperial Gazetteer of the taluk it is stated that "more than half of the taluk consists of 'reserved' forest; but this is chiefly useful as a grazing ground for cattle for the Kokegal ryot is more often a raiser of stock than a cultivator of arable land" There is no detailed information if much water goes to waste or whether ranja lands before have become punja now. In his notes of inspection for Sept 1902 Mr H. E. Clerk observes S. E on Special duty observes that the existing tanks have silted up to most serious and the following fact go to explain why they do so;

p. 82. of Vol II Madras (portrait)

Proc. 45 C D ff W in NF II 19.9.02 8027 17-B

(1) The very steady supply in the Cundalah river (from which almost all the tanks receive their supply)

(2) the fact that the paddy is sown broadcast and not watered at all for the first three months of its growth He suggests as a remedial measure to prevent silting up of the tanks:—

(1) raising of bunds and escapes wherever possible without submerging valuable

valuable lands 17

(2) repairs to sluices in channel wherever necessary &

(3) making vents in the surplus weirs of upper tanks so that water can be passed on to the lower ones more expeditiously

These suggestions are being acted upon by the local officers – Vide memo to the SE W No 2815 of 19.7.07.

x in No 8023. 17. 65 E 11.1.23

2. As regards Clause (b) of the question, the proposal to form a reservoir across the Gundala river about 10 miles SE of Hokegal was referred to per S.E. Class, SE on special duty who in his note of inspection referred to above reported that 'there is not enough surplus water available to warrant the construction of an expensive reservoir, and any reservoir here would be known to be expensive on account of the difficulty of finding a site for an escape. I think therefore that the project should be given up".

Jl. W in 1 E Sluices II

(6) In their address presented to H.E. the Governor in Aug 1918, the ryots of Hokegal prayed that the waste of Honnolai stream might be directed

para 5 p 11 of in 587 B 28.11.19 Jl. H in 1 E 3879 1 E III 9

to improve the supply to 3 of the tanks in the taluk. The Stream which also called Swathavathi rises in the Biligiri rangan hills at the SE extremity of the Mysore State, and traverses the Chamarajanagar taluk of the Mysore district over a distance of 25 miles from its source. It then passes through the Yelandur jagir for 15 miles and joins Cauvery at a point 12 miles above Sivasamudram. The investigation of the scheme has not yet been taken up as it is proposed by Mysore to construct 2 dams across the river, and a reservoir on the river itself. As regards the former the Honble the Resident has been informed that the Govt have no objection to the proposed Construction provided that any increased irrigation is compensated for by an addition to the quantity of water to be passed on to Madras from the Krishnarajasagar reservoir. Certain particulars as regards the existing irrigation have also been called for and are awaited. With reference to the reservoir proposed details of the proposal together with the extent of

p.11 of W.F.E
3689/29-B 2.F I

Vide Min. Proceedings etc
on p.6 of W.F.E
8023/17 2.F II

the existing irrigation & new irrigation proposed have been called for. It's not likely that these details will be furnished till the Settlement with Mysore as regards the Cauvery case is concluded. There is also the question as to what quantity of water will be available after the requirements of Mysore have been fully met. It will therefore take some time before the local officers can be asked to investigate.

3. The following draft answer is suggested which may if approved be circulated to (M) C_3.

Draft answer

a. The Govt have no detailed information but will call for it.

(b) The proposal to form a reservoir across the Gundala river about 10 miles south East of Kollegal was given up on engineering and financial grounds. The scheme to divert the water of Honnahalli Stream through also as the Swarnavathi has not yet been taken up pending receipt of certain information asked for from Mysore.

1.2.21 9.2.21 C_3 Approved. 10.2.21 9.2.21

6

P.W.D

JS.

Two Copies of the QRs and the draft answer approved by CS for transmission to Legislative are submitted for favour of initials

TSR
11.2.21

Rev
11.2.21

P.W.D Memo No. 42421-SB, dt. 11.02.21

DS

Please see clause a of the draft answer on 5 anti. draft ors to the SE IV is submitted for approval and orders thereon

JSR
17.2.21

18.2.21

from Memo No. 42421-SB, dt. 21-2-21.
by S(21) from the SE/NS No. 612W/240 dt. 12-4-21

DS

Please see clause (a) of the Question on page 1 Col. 1 in the Collector's report now submitted by the S.E. with reference to Om. dt. 21.2.21 on page 2 Col. 1, it is not stated whether it is a fact that much water goes to waste for want of sufficient number of tanks in the taluk. The Collector deals mainly with one point, viz. boundaries of Manjal lands to punjah. Orders are solicited as to

Whether the S.E. may be asked to report on the points referred to above. If he considered necessary, the papers may be forwarded.

Put up before general on the schemes referred by the Collector.

19/4/21

DJ

A draft C.M to the S.E. is submitted for approval & orders for issue.

20/4/21 20/4/21

21/4/21

C.M. No. 242/21 dt. 21-4-21

(Cro No. 242/21) From the S.E. IV No. 7700/246 dt. 13-5-21

DJ

J.S. The S.E. submits the detailed proposals called for in C.M. 242/21 dt. 21.4.21 (at page 5 ante). It will be seen that a good deal of water in the Scheme tanks is being wasted but at the same time it is not possible to use water of these streams on account of the peculiar physical features of the tanks appearing in the eastern portion — Vide concluding para of the S.E's letter — (page 8 ante)

2. The S.E. proposes on all the Schemes mentioned in the Collector's letter dt. 4.3.21 (at 30 ante) except the improvement of the Hampapuram tank. As regards this Scheme reference is solicited.

S.E's proposals & recommendations in his 79 d 441-C dt 25-3-19 (Ref 20 C) & 670-W/441-C dt 22-4-21 (Ref 24 C.T.) are limited to his D.O. 8023/17 regarding the improvement of the Doddavanagere Main Tanks Supply Channel. It is believed that flowing at the Supply level of the tank are being taken.

3. The following are the points requiring consideration in the S.E's present letter :—

(i) —Scheme 1— The Swarnavathi or Hirehalla —

The S.E. considers that future readings of the stream might be taken. In the circumstances set forth in para 2(b) and 3 & 5 above no further action regarding this Scheme seems necessary but something definite & planned & what purpose proposes to do. The S.E. may, it is submitted, be informed accordingly.

Rec 17.6.21

(ii) Schemes 2 & 3 — The Hatti Halla & the Guddal River Supply Channel.

It is submitted that the S.E. may be asked to submit a preliminary report with an approximate estimate of Cost & Revenue return.

Rec 17.6.21

iii Scheme 4 — The Hirehalla — The S.E's recommends that future readings should be taken on this Stream. He has called for a further report from the Ex. Engr. This report may be awaited before accepting the S.E's recommendation.

Rec 17.6.21

As observed by the S.E. the other two Schemes need

24/21 B) Not to be considered.

11/6/21

15.6.21.

17.6.21

A draft G.O. to the Secy

27.6.21

27.6.21

G.O. issued as Rc. 342/21-B4 dt 27.6.21.
Proceedings in Rc 6641/22. B. Permitted in Rc 48-8, 441 FD 20.4.22.

Submitted:

1. The report received from the SE with his letter read above, is with reference to G.M. dt 27-6-21 (i) and G.O. Rc 959 dt 17-3-22, recording a further Leg. Council question and answer on the subject. The SE will submit a further report on Scheme-182 for which (on receipt of) the reply from the EE. Salem Dn. (it may be awaited, say, for 2 months more.

I R dt 28/6/22

2. As regards the Uduthurai Valla Project, it is not known whether the SE will submit for E.I's perusal, the report which is being forwarded to him, by the E.E. Coimbatore Dn. However the SE will be reminded after one year for the result of the gaugings, the estimate for which is being sent to the Collector for countersignature.

24/6/22

25.6.22

26.6.22

Extract from Secretariat file re Cb. Kulakalamedu Irrigation Scheme in the Coimbatore Scheme in the Kolegal Taluk

"Urge the S.E. to expedite his replies

(Sd) A.U.R. 7-4-25

Sd/- U.S.

A draft memo to the S.E. is submitted for approval & orders to issue

7/4/25

16.4.25

30/4/25

From the S.E. Cauvery Circle M.No. 1629/246 A9/14 dt 25. Requesting that the scheme may be taken up in the current year.

Please see the memo at page 6 of the three Schemes for which Investigation reports were called for in that memo, the report for Kebbahalla project has been received & the scheme and report have been dealt with in file Cyo 1822/27 & further action on that scheme will be taken in that file. As regards the framing of a separate channel from the Gundar river S.E. has proposed to postpone this until the Kebbahalla Scheme is considered. So no action seems necessary at present on this scheme. As regards the Kadathurai Halla Project

the S.E reports that the reports

after the results of the gaugings that are being
taken is received. If approved, this file may
now be closed, a call for this year being
noted in the Call book. The report on this portion
will be taken up separately when received. The
particulars required in all these schemes have already
been supplied to the M.E.S in Lr. No 673 E/24.

Call noted in the Call book
by [illegible]

(4)

[illegible]
26/6/25

[illegible]
2/6

with ref to E.S. order, for the portions call noted is
submitted that the particulars have been called for from the S.E. in
memo of 27-5-25 & these are awaited. The file is not pending in office.
These papers may now be recorded as already ordered
above

№ 1826/22-B-3.

40 no. 190 (21 (mis) 8/10-1-25

Headship

Council meeting - 1921 -

Q No 231 -

18 - Vendatalam ar -

Coimbatore - 6/7/23

supply. E.O. - Tanjore -

[illegible] Kollegal taluk — 7.7.23

[illegible] scheme.

[illegible] recorded

Ed
DC4 to note call -
14/7